

# **Surface Correspondence in Reduplication**

Yifan Yang yangyifa@usc.edu



## **1. Overview**

#### Aims

- Present new data from fieldwork on Huozhou Chinese that provides evidence  $\bullet$ for a pattern of backcopying in reduplication;
- Show that backcopying in reduplication an be analyzed using Surface  $\bullet$ Correspondence ('SCorr', e.g. Rose & Walker 2004), an independently motivated mechanism for enforcing surface identity.

## **2. Diminutive Formation in Huozhou Chinese**

#### **Huozhou Chinese and Data Sources**

- Huozhou Chinese
  - a variety of Zhongyuan Mandarin, spoken in Huozhou, central Shanxi Ο Province.
  - approx. 290,000 speakers (Feng & Zhao 2014). Ο

# 4. Analysis

#### **Output Representation**

One way to flesh out the moraic template  $(\mu_d)$  is reduplication



- (**Note:** digits indicate input-output relations;  $\mu_e$  refers to an epenthetic mora since the reduplicated string still possesses a full tone which demands bimoraicity.)
- The reduplicant is viewed as an affix: [STEM paw +  $\mu_{DIMINUTIVE}$ ]

#### **Crucial Constraints and Tableaux** 1) CORR and IDENT-SS

- Data Sources
  - Published data in literature (Tian 1992, Feng & Zhao 2014, etc.)
  - My own fieldwork data (natural speech and elicited words from three Ο consultants, aged 55-65; July 2019).

### Sketch of Huozhou Phonology

- Moraic representations of syllable (G = glide):
- Coda position (X) can be [j, w, ŋ].

**Diminutive Formation in Huozhou** 

- 1) Diminutive Rime Change
  - For syllables with coda [j], [w], or [ŋ], the coda is subtracted with Ο compensatory lengthening to form a diminutive (numbers indicate tones):

		noun	diminutive	gloss
	a.	[saj <sup>21</sup> ]	[saː <sup>51</sup> ]	'sieve'
	b.	[paw <sup>21</sup> ]	[poː <sup>21</sup> ]	'bag'
Table 1.	C.	[paŋ <sup>35</sup> ]	[paː <sup>35</sup> ]	'plate'

2) Diminutive Reduplication

- For open syllables, full reduplication is used to form a diminutive; Ο
- For syllables with [j] or [ŋ] coda, partial reduplication is an alternative Ο variant for diminutive:

		noun	diminutive	gloss
	a.	[ŋəː <sup>35</sup> ]	[ŋəː <sup>35</sup> .ŋəː <sup>55</sup> ]	'moth'
	b.	[saj <sup>21</sup> ]	[saː <sup>51</sup> ] ~ [saj <sup>21</sup> .saː <sup>33</sup> ]	'sieve'
Table 2.	C.	[p <sup>h</sup> aŋ <sup>35</sup> ]	[p <sup>h</sup> aː <sup>35</sup> ] ~ [pɑŋ <sup>35</sup> paː <sup>55</sup> ]	'plate'

- - **CORR-SS[-cons]**<sub>STEM</sub> requires all [-cons] segments within the stem domain Ο to establish correspondence;
  - **IDENT-SS[F]** requires all corresponding segments to be featurally identical, at Ο the sacrifice of **IDENT-IO**[F].
- 2) CORR-SS[–cons]<sub>STEM</sub> enforces identity between all vocoids, but an identity effect is only visible in diminutive reduplication, not in a bare noun root (i.e.  $/paw/ \rightarrow [paw]$ , without DIMINUTIVE morpheme):
  - **ID-SS[high]&<sub>STEM</sub>ID-SS[high]**, locally self-conjoined constraint in the stem Ο domain (Ito & Mester 2003, Smolensky 2006, etc.)
  - Multiple violations of **ID-SS[high]** result in a cumulative markedness effect Ο
  - This mechanism distinguishes /paw +  $\mu_x$ / from /paw/. Ο

Tableau 1. (digits indicate input-output relations, not tones)

p <sup>1</sup> a <sup>2</sup> w <sup>3</sup>	CORR	ID-IO[hi/lo]	ID-SS[hi]
$a. p^{1}a_{x}^{2}w_{x}^{3}$			*
<b>b.</b> p <sup>1</sup> o <sup>2,3</sup>		* *	

#### *Tableau 2.* (digits indicate input-output relations, not tones)

$p^1a^2w^3 + \mu_x$	CORR	ID-SS[hi] <sup>2</sup>	ID-IO[hi/lo]	ID-SS[hi]	UNIFORMITY
$B = a. p^{1} O x_{x}^{2,3} . p^{1} O x_{x}^{2}$			***		*
<b>b.</b> $p^1 a_x^2 w_x^3 p^1 a_x^2$		*!		**	
<b>c.</b> $p^1 o_x^2 W_x^3 p^1 o_x^2$		*!	**	**	
<b>d.</b> $p^1 a_x^2 w_y^3 p^1 a_x^2$	* *				

CGV CGVX

For syllables with [w] coda, partial reduplication is an alternative variant for diminutive; **backcopying is observed in reduplication**:

		noun	diminutive	gloss
	a.	[paw <sup>21</sup> ]	[pox <sup>21</sup> ] ~ [pox <sup>21</sup> .pox <sup>21</sup> ] (*[paw <sup>21</sup> .pox <sup>21</sup> ])	'bag'
	b.	[t <sup>h</sup> ow <sup>51</sup> ]	[t <sup>h</sup> uː <sup>51</sup> ] ~ [t <sup>h</sup> uː <sup>51</sup> .t <sup>h</sup> uː <sup>21</sup> ] (*[t <sup>h</sup> ow <sup>51</sup> .t <sup>h</sup> uː <sup>21</sup> ])	'bean'
Table 3.	С.	[tɕʰjaw <sup>35</sup> ]	[tɕʰjoː <sup>35</sup> ] ~ [tɕʰjoː <sup>35</sup> .tɕʰjoː <sup>55</sup> ] (*[tɕʰjɑw <sup>35</sup> .tɕʰjoː <sup>55</sup> ])	'bar'

#### Summary

- Open-syllable nouns: full reduplication only.
- [j] / [ŋ]-ending nouns: rime change or partial reduplication.
- [w]-ending nouns: rime change or reduplication with backcopying:
- The backcopying pattern is the focus of this presentation, namely what mechanism is responsible for this pattern.

- The proposed grammar requires that all surface corresponding segments be ulletidentical in height (and roundness, not shown);
- j-ending nouns such as [kaj] and [paj] do not exhibit such a pattern since the relevant candidates (e.g. \*[ker.ker], \*[per.per]) do not follow the general phonotactic rules of this language.

# **5. Alternative Analysis**

- [por.por] could be analyzed as the cyclic application of rime change and reduplication:
  - $/paw + diminutive / \rightarrow [pox] \rightarrow [pox.pox]$  $\bigcirc$
- This will cause problem for j-ending and n-ending nouns (those in *Table 2*):
  - /kaj + diminutive/  $\rightarrow$  [kaː]  $\rightarrow$  \*[kaː.kaː] Ο
  - $/pan + diminutive / \rightarrow [pax] \rightarrow *[pax.pax]$ Ο

## **3. Proposal**

# 6. Closing Remarks

#### Summary

#### Representation

- The underlying representation of the diminutive morpheme is a mora ( $\mu_d$ )
- The affixal mora can give rise to variable surface forms, including compensatory lengthening (rime change) and reduplication (Saba Kirchner 2010, Trommer & Zimmermann 2014, etc.). Relevant analytical details are pursued in another work (Yang 2019).

## Identity-enforcing mechanism in grammar

- Surface Correspondence (Hansson 2001, Rose & Walker 2004) was originally proposed for long-distance segment agreement.
- This mechanism is not 'turned off' for reduplication (see also Inkelas and Zoll 2005, Inkelas 2008)
  - CORR constraints enforce surface correspondence between segments in an output that share certain features;
  - Corresponding segments are required to be featurally identical by IDENT-SS[F].

SCorr

- These data from Huozhou Chinese provide new evidence for the existence of backcopying in reduplication;
- This pattern can be analyzed with SCorr (see Inkelas and Zoll 2005 for other examples);
- A cyclic alternative is not successful for the Huozhou pattern.

## **Further Issues**

- Part of the success of the current analysis is due to specific phonological and morphological patterns in Chinese.
- Future work: to what extent can SCorr be used in analyzing the phenomena that show identity effects (e.g. overapplication, underapplication).

References. Feng, Liangzhen and Xueling Zhao. 2014. Huozhou Fangyan Yanjiu [The Study of Huozhou Dialect]. Taiyuan: Beifang Wenyi Press. Hansson, Gunnar Ólafur. 2001. Theoretical and typological issues in consonant harmony. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley. Inkelas, Sharon and Cheryl Zoll. 2005. Reduplication. Doubling in Morphology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Itô, Junko and Armin Mester. 2003. Japanese morphophonemics: Markedness and word structure. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Kirchner, Jesse Saba. 2010. Minimal reduplication. University of California Santa Cruz. McCarthy, John J. and Alan Prince. 1995. Faithfulness and reduplicative identity. In Jill N. Beckman, Laura Walsh Dickey and Suzanne Urbanczyk (eds.). University of Massachusetts Occasional Papers in Linguistics 18: Papers in Optimality Theory. 249-384. Amherst, MA: GLSA. Rose, Sharon, and Rachel Walker. 2004. A typology of consonant agreement as correspondence. Language 80, 475–531. Smolensky, Paul. 2006. Optimality in phonology II: Harmonic completeness, local constraint conjunction, and feature domain markedness. The harmonic mind: From neural computation to optimality-theoretic grammar 2. 27–160. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Tian, Xicheng. 1992. Diminutive rime change of Huozhou Dialect. Journal of Shanxi University 1: 1-8. Trommer, Jochen, and Eva Zimmermann. 2014. Generalized mora affixation and quantity-manipulating morphology. Phonology 31, 463-510. Yang, Yifan. Generalized Surface Correspondence in reduplication. Ms. University of Southern California.